## Case Study - Audit

## Energy Savings by installing a more efficient pump.

A power station required a replacement pump to pump process water form a lagoon.
The pump needed to be replaced as it was un-economical to repair ERIKS were invited to quote for a replacement pump that met the design duty of $700 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{hr} @ 49 \mathrm{~m}$ and constructed from plastic ERIKS approached their partner supplier for a suitable pump to meet the duty ERIKS pump supplier was able to offer a pump that was more efficient.

With the increase in efficiency the client was able to save $£ 14,700.00$ year on energy with a like for like replacement. ERIKS established from the client that the process demand was variable, and any unwanted flow was returned to the lagoon via bypass line.

ERIKS recommended that if the system was inverter controlled there could be a further potential energy saving of $£ 52,300$ per annum.

| Manufacturer |  | Original | Replacement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Operation |  | Duty | Duty |
| Flow | $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ | 700 | 700 |
| Total Head | m | 49 | 49 |
| Pump speed | rpm | 1450 | 1450 |
| Number hours operating | per annum | 8750 | 8750 |
| Pump efficiency | $\%$ | 68.4 | 80 |
| Motor rated power | kW | 200 | 200 |
| Pump shaft power | kW | 136.5 | 116.3 |
| Motor efficiency | $\%$ | 94.1 | 93.8 |
| Motor power factor | $\%$ | 84.7 | 82.5 |
| Motor current | amps | 238.1 | 109 |
| Motor power | kW | 145 | 124 |
| Annual energy | MWH | 1270.2 | 1086.2 |
| Cost per unit | $£$ | $£$ | f |
| Annual cost | $£$ | $£ \quad 101,600.00$ | f |

## Comparison Curve



